## Remarks

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A restriction requirement has been imposed on the Applicants under 35 U.S.C. 121, in accordance with which the Applicant is required to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held allowable.

The Examiner states that, currently, claims 21 and 26 appear to be generic. The Examiner further advises that a reply to the restriction requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with the requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

The Examiner indicates that the application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I, the embodiment wherein the form factor is a DAT; Species II, the embodiment wherein the form factor is a DDS; Species III, the embodiment wherein the form factor is a DLT; and, Species IV, the embodiment wherein the form factor is a LTO.

The Applicants hereby elect without traverse Species III, wherein the form factor is a DLT. The Applicant contends that the following claims read on the elected species: claims 21, 24, 26-28, and 31.

## Summary

The Applicant believes that the election of species along with the listing of claims readable thereon, as set forth herein above, constitutes a full and complete response to the Office action, and the Applicant furthermore requests timely allowance of claims 21, 24, 26-28, and 31.

Respectfully submitted,

Stephen V. Deckers, Applicant

Date: September 03, 2004

Thomas A. Olson

Attorney and agent for Applicant

Reg. No. 44,271

Phone: (509) 327-4748

\_Naman

Application S/N: 10/788,543 Docket Number: 10004377-4 Response to Restriction Requirement